Approved For Release 2002/01/08: CIA-RDP89-01114R000300090004-1

CIVIL SERVICE REFORM ACT OF 1978

TITLE IV

SENIOR EXECUTIVE SERVICE

PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL SYSTEM

I. PRIMARY ELEMENTS OF THE SYSTEM

A. Pre-Appraisal Understanding

On or before the beginning of each rating period, <u>performance requirements</u> must be established through consultations between the Senior Executive and his/her supervisor.

- B. Establishment of Performance Requirements
 - Performance requirements will be defined in terms of:
 - (1) Organizational goals; and
 - (2) Individual goals.
 - Specific organizational and individual goals that have been established at the beginning of the rating period will be identified according to:
 - (1) Critical elements which define what is to be specifically accomplished; and
 - (2) Performance standards which specify the level of achievement expected (How much? How soon? etc.).

Approved For Release 2002/01/08: CIA-RDP89-01114R000300090004-1

- Weighting of the critical elements/performance standards of each tasking according to relative importance.
- C. Provide summary ratings of levels of performance as follows:
 - (1) One (or more) fully successful levels;
 - (2) A minimally satisfactory level; and
 - (3) An unsatisfactory level.
- D. Factors That Will Form the Basis of Appraisal of Performance in Meeting Established Organizational and Individual Goals
 - (1) Improvements in efficiency, productivity and quality of work or service, including any significant reduction in paper work;
 - (2) Cost efficiency;
 - (3) Timeliness of performance;
 - (4) Meeting affirmative action goals and achievement of equal employment opportunity requirements; and
 - (5) Other indicators of effectiveness, productivity and performance quality of the employees for whom the Senior Executive is responsible.
 - Each rated individual must be provided a copy of the appraisal and rating and may respond in writing and have the rating reviewed by the next higher executive level before rating becomes final.

Approved For Release 2002/01/08: CIA-RDP89-01114R000300090004-1

II. APPLICATION OF PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL RESULTS

A. Performance Review Boards

Each Agency must establish one or more Performance Review Boards to review SES performance appraisals and make recommendations to the "appointing authority" of the Agency relative to the performance of all Senior Executives

B. Eligibility for Performance Awards

Performance rated at fully successful levels permit the rated individual to be considered for a performance award.

C. Consequences of Less Than Fully Successful Performance

- Any Senior Executive who twice in any period of three consecutive years receives less than <u>fully successful</u> ratings shall be removed from the SES.
- An unsatisfactory rating requires reassignment or transfer to a different assignment within the SES or possible removal from the SES. Two unsatisfactory ratings out of 5 consecutive years shall require removal from the SES.

WORKSHEET -- EXERCISE 1

Position Manager of the Processing Office*

		•	
			,
	•		
			·
I. Adjustments in work assignments to utilize mentally retarded	Five jobs reengineered		2
H. Effective development of non-professional staff	performing at established level		3
mentation	80% of non-professionals		
G. Maintaining accurate docu-	Audit shows 99% complete documentation	-	5
F. Maintaining good community relations	Reduce number of complaints by 5%		15
`E. Improving Affirmative Action program	Meet organization goals and timetables	-	5
D. Eliminating paperwork	Reduce papers handled by 5%	`	5
C. Reducing costs	2% reduction in unit costs		1 5
B. Maintaining acceptable quality and accuracy levels in decisions	95% accurate decisions	•	25
status ·			
A. Maintaining work in current	Backlogs not over 30 days		25
*NOTE: This example does not re ELEMENTS	fer to a position in your agency illu REQUIREMENTS	MAX.	POINT:

Range: Fully Successful 80 and above

Minimally Satisfactory 70-79
Unsatisperoyed For Release 2902/01/08: CIA-RDP89-01114R000300090004-1

Total 100